

► Additional material is published online only. To view this file please visit the journal online (http://dx.doi.org/ 10.1136/jmedgenet-2015-103568)

For numbered affiliations see end of article.

Correspondence to

Professor Patricia Maciel, Life and Health Sciences Research Institute—School of Health Sciences, University of Minho, Campus de Gualtar, Braga 4710-057, Portugal; pmaciel@ecsaude.uminho.pt

FL and MB contributed equally.

Received 6 October 2015 Revised 17 November 2015 Accepted 6 December 2015 Published Online First 6 January 2016



To cite: Lopes F, Barbosa M, Ameur A, *et al. J Med Genet* 2016;**53**: 190–199.

ORIGINAL ARTICLE

Identification of novel genetic causes of Rett syndrome-*like* phenotypes

Fátima Lopes, ^{1,2} Mafalda Barbosa, ^{3,4} Adam Ameur, ⁵ Gabriela Soares, ⁶ Joaquim de Sá, ⁷ Ana Isabel Dias, ⁸ Guiomar Oliveira, ^{9,10} Pedro Cabral, ¹¹ Teresa Temudo, ¹² Eulália Calado, ⁸ Isabel Fineza Cruz, ¹³ José Pedro Vieira, ⁸ Renata Oliveira, ⁷ Sofia Esteves, ^{1,2} Sascha Sauer, ^{14,15} Inger Jonasson, ⁵ Ann-Christine Syvänen, ¹⁶ Ulf Gyllensten, ⁵ Dalila Pinto, ³ Patrícia Maciel^{1,2}

ABSTRACT

Background The aim of this work was to identify new genetic causes of Rett-like phenotypes using array comparative genomic hybridisation and a whole exome sequencing approach.

Methods and results We studied a cohort of 19 Portuguese patients (16 girls, 3 boys) with a clinical presentation significantly overlapping Rett syndrome (RTT). Genetic analysis included filtering of the single nucleotide variants and indels with preference for de novo, homozvaous/compound heterozvaous, or maternally inherited X linked variants. Examination by MRI and muscle biopsies was also performed. Pathogenic genomic imbalances were found in two patients (10.5%): an 18q21.2 deletion encompassing four exons of the TCF4 gene and a mosaic UPD of chromosome 3. Variants in genes previously implicated in neurodevelopmental disorders (NDD) were identified in six patients (32%): de novo variants in EEF1A2, STXBP1 and ZNF238 were found in three patients, maternally inherited X linked variants in SLC35A2, ZFX and SHROOM4 were detected in two male patients and one homozygous variant in EIF2B2 was detected in one patient. Variants were also detected in five novel NDD candidate genes (26%): we identified de novo variants in the RHOBTB2, SMARCA1 and GABBR2 genes; a homozygous variant in *EIF4G1*; compound heterozygous variant in HTT.

Conclusions Network analysis reveals that these genes interact by means of protein interactions with each other and with the known RTT genes. These findings expand the phenotypical spectrum of previously known NDD genes to encompass RTT-like clinical presentations and identify new candidate genes for RTT-like phenotypes.

INTRODUCTION

Rett syndrome (RTT) is a severe neurodevelopmental disorder (NDD) affecting mostly girls, characterised by an apparently normal prenatal and perinatal period followed by a stagnation in development and a severe regression in language and motor skills.¹ RTT is clinically divided into classical and atypical forms of the disease.² The clinical diagnostic criteria for RTT can be revisited in table 1. Patients with RTT or RTT-like clinical presentation often present with severe intellectual disability (ID), autistic features and epilepsy, and their differential diagnosis includes Angelman syndrome, Pitt-Hopkins syndrome (PTHS) and some epileptic encephalopathies.^{3–5}

Whole exome sequencing (WES), has had a major impact in medical practice, leading to the identification of several new genes involved in ID.^{6–8} We used a genomic approach combining array comparative genomic hybridisation (aCGH) and WES to find genetic causes of disease in a group of RTT-like patients who tested negative for *MECP2* mutations and—whenever clinically appropriate—*CDKL5* mutations. We were able to detect pathogenic variants and very likely pathogenic variants that we believe can account for the RTT-like phenotype in 13 (68%) of these patients.

METHODS

Patients

We enrolled 19 patients (16 girls and 3 boys) with idiopathic neurodevelopmental phenotypes that clinically overlap with RTT and their unaffected parents (trios). The patients were selected from a previously established database of patients with idiopathic ID and confirmed as eligible by consulting with medical geneticists, paediatric neurologists and neurodevelopmental paediatricians, using the revised clinical criteria for RTT diagnosis.¹ We included patients meeting sufficient criteria for the diagnosis of Rett (classical or atypical)—except for documented regression, which was not considered mandatory. Exclusion criteria were also taken into account (tables 1 and 2; online supplementary data1).

Before enrolment all patients had undergone routine diagnostic workup, including brain MRI and metabolic screen. *MECP2* analysis was performed by Sanger sequencing and qPCR for all patients and *CDKL5* sequencing was undertaken for patients presenting early onset seizure variant. No patient presented with clearly congenital forms, hence *FOXG1* was not tested. Patients would only be enrolled in the study if their complementary exams had been normal or with abnormalities that could not clearly explain the phenotype.

Molecular analysis

For all patients included in this work an aCGH analysis was performed first, followed by WES (provided aCGH profile had been normal or inconclusive).

Table 1 Clinical diagnostic criteria for Rett syndrome (adapted from Neul and colleagues¹)

Main criteria	Supportive criteria	Exclusion criteria	Required for classic RTT	Required for variant RT
Partial/complete loss of acquired purposeful hand skills	Breathing disturbances (awake)	Brain injury secondary to trauma (perinatal or postnatal)	A period of regression followed by recovery or stabilisation	A period of regression followed by recovery or stabilisation*
Partial/complete loss of acquired spoken language	Bruxism (awake)	Neurometabolic disease	All main criteria and none exclusion criteria	At least 2 of the 4 main criteria
Gait abnormalities: Impaired (dyspraxic) or absent	Impaired sleep pattern	Severe infection that causes neurological problems	Supportive criteria are not required, although often present in typical RTT	At least 5 out of 11 supportive criteria
Stereotypical hand movements (wringing/ squeezing, clapping/tapping, mouthing, washing/rubbing automatisms)	Abnormal muscle tone	Grossly abnormal psychomotor development in the first 6 months of life		
	Peripheral vasomotor disturbances			
	Scoliosis/kyphosis			
	Growth retardation			
	Small cold hands and feet			
	Inappropriate laughing/screaming spells			
	Diminished response to pain			
	Intense eye communication —'eye pointing'			

*Because *MECP2* mutations are now identified in some individuals prior to any clear evidence of regression, the diagnosis of 'possible' RTT should be given to children under 3 years old who have not lost any skills but otherwise have clinical features suggestive of RTT. These individuals should be reassessed every 6–12 months for evidence of regression. If regression manifests, the diagnosis should then be changed to definite RTT. However, if the child does not show any evidence of regression by 5 years, the diagnosis of RTT should be questioned.

Array comparative genomic hybridisation

aCGH analysis was performed using two different platforms: human genome CGH Agilent 180K custom array and Illumina HumanOmniExpress beadchip array (see online supplementary part 2; figure S2.1). All genomic coordinates are in build GRCh37/hg19.

Exome sequencing and variant detection

Exomes were enriched with Agilent's SureSelect All Human Exome V.4 Kit (51 Mb encompassing the exons of 20 965 genes), followed by AB SOLiD5500xl System sequencing (Life Technologies). Filtering of single nucleotide variants and indels is described in online supplementary data2. Preference was given to (1) de novo variants, (2) homozygous or compound heterozygous variants compatible with an autosomal recessive mode of transmission and (3) X linked variants. The impact of variants was predicted using in silico tools, namely SIFT,⁹ PolyPhen2,¹⁰ Mutation Assessor,¹¹ Mutation Taster,¹² PMut¹³ and Condel.¹⁴ Alignment for amino acid conservation among species was performed using the ClustalW2 webtool (http://www.ebi.ac.uk/Tools/msa/ clustalw2/) (see online supplementary data2; figure S2.2).

Selection and interpretation of the variants

Candidate variants were validated by Sanger sequencing in the trios. Variants were selected for Sanger confirmation as described in online supplementary data2 and in figure S2.3. The variants selected for Sanger sequencing confirmation are described in online supplementary data1, table S1.19. The primers designed for this purpose are listed in online supplementary data2, table S2.2. The variants were classified according to the flow chart depicted in online supplementary figure S2.4, adapted from de Ligt and colleagues.⁶

Network analysis

We performed gene network analysis to: (1) verify if our candidate genes interacted among themselves and with the known RTT genes (*MECP2*, *CDKL5*, *FOXG1*), (2) study the topology of these interactions, (3) predict additional genes that may be involved in RTT if they are shown to interact with a large number of genes in the query set, (4) identify common biological themes by exploring functional enrichment analysis of Gene Ontology (GO) terms.

Network analysis was performed with GeneMANIA (V3.1.2.7, http://www.genemania.org/).^{15 16} Given a set of input genes, GeneMANIA finds related genes using a very large set of functional association data, including protein interactions, genetic interactions, pathway, coexpression, colocalisation, shared protein domain and predicted functional relationship. GeneMANIA also allows for functional enrichment analysis. For our analysis, the genes used as input were the already known RTT genes (*MECP, CDKL5, FOXG1*) as well as the genes selected as likely causing RTT-like phenotype in our cohort.

For additional details on the methodology of the gene network analysis performed using GeneMANIA (V.3.1.2.7) see online supplementary data2.¹⁵ 16

RESULTS

Patients' clinical profile

We enrolled 19 patients (16 girls and 3 boys) with ages between 6 and 31 years (mean age 15.8 ± 6.3 years), with idiopathic neurodevelopmental phenotypes that clinically overlapped with RTT, as well as their unaffected parents (trios). The patients were selected from a previously established database of patients with ID and confirmed as eligible using the revised clinical criteria for RTT diagnosis.¹ All patients had normal routine diagnostic workup, including brain MRI, which for RTT diagnosis purpose was classified as 'normal' if the alterations present were not a consequence of a perinatal or postnatal insult, neurometabolic disease or severe infection; this was the case for patients 1, 2, 6, 14, 15 and 17. Detailed description of the MRI findings for these patients can be found in the online supplementary data. Metabolic screen, *MECP2* analysis by Sanger sequencing

		Rett	Regression	Main criteria				Minor criteria											Comorbidities	
Proband_ ID	Gender			Partial/ complete loss of acquired hand skills	Partial/ complete loss of spoken language	Gait abormalities	Stereotypical hand movements	Breathing disturbances	when	Impaired sleep pattern	Abnormal muscle tone	Peripheral vasomotor disturbances	Scoliosis / Kyphosis				Diminished response to pain	Intense eye communi- cation	Epilepsy	Autism Spectrum Disorder
1	F	Classical	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Ν	N	Y	N	Y	Y	Y	Y		Y	Y	Y
2	F	Classical	Y	Y	Y	Y	Υ	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Ν	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
3	F	Classical	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Ν	Ν	Y	Ν	Ν	Ν	Ν	Y	Ν	Ν	Y	Y
4	F	Classical	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Ν	Ν	Y	Y	Y	Y	Ν	Υ	Y	Ν	Y	Y	Y
5	F	Atypical	Y	Ν	Y	Υ	Υ	Y	Y	Ν	Y	N	Ν	Ν	Y	Y	Ν	Y	Ν	Ν
6	F	Atypical	Y	Y	Y	Y	Ν	Ν	Ν	Ν	Y	Ν	Y	Y	Υ	Ν	Ν	Y	Ν	Y
7	F	Atypical	Y	Y	Ν	Ν	Y	Y	Y	Y	Ν	Y	Y	Y	Υ	Y	Ν	Y	Y	Y
8	F	Atypical	Y	Y	Y	Ν	Y	Ν	Ν	Ν	Y	Y	Y	Ν	Υ	Y	Ν	Ν	Y	Y
9	F	Atypical	Ν	Y	Y	Ν	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Ν	Ν	Ν	Υ	Y	Ν	Y	Ν	Y
10	F	Like	Ν	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Υ	Y	Y	Ν	Y	Ν
11	F	Like	Ν	Ν	Y	Y	Y	Ν	Y	Y	Ν	Y	Ν	Y	Υ	Ν	Y	Y	Y	Ν
12	F	Like	Ν	Ν	Y	Υ	Υ	Y	Y	Y	Ν	Y	Y	Ν	Y	Ν	Y	Y	Y	Ν
13	F	Like	Ν	Y	Y	Y	N	Ν	Y	Y	Y	Ν	Y	Ν	Ν	Y	Ν	Ν	Y	Ν
14	М	Like	Ν	Ν	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Ν	Ν	Ν	Ν	Ν	Y	Ν	Y	Y
15	М	Like	Ν	Y	Y	Y	Y	Ν	Ν	Y	Y	Ν	Ν	Ν	Ν	Y	Ν	Ν	Y	Y
16	F	Like	Ν	Ν	Y	Y	Y	Ν		Ν		Ν	Ν		Ν	Y		Ν	Y	Y
17	F	Like	Ν	Ν	Y	Ν	Υ	Y	Y	Y	Y	Ν	Y	Y	Ν	Y	Y	Ν	Y	Y
18	F	Like	Ν	Ν	Ν	Υ	Y	Ν	Y	Y	Ν	Y	Ν	Ν	Y	Ν	Y	Ν	Ν	Y
19	М	Like	N	Ν	Y	Y	Ν	Ν	Y	N	N	Y	Y	Ν	Y	Ν	Y	Y	Ν	Y

Table 2 Clinical characterisation of the cohort relative to BTT clinical diagnosis criteria

Blank cells=information not provided. F, Female; ID, intellectual disability; M, Male; N, No, Y, Yes.

192

and qPCR, as well as *CDKL5* sequencing (if early onset seizure variant) were performed for all patients.

The main clinical findings of the patients are summarised in table 2 (more detailed in online supplementary data1). We have classified the patients according to Neul and colleagues, as: (1) classical RTT, if they had had regression and presented all 4 main criteria; (2) atypical RTT, if they had had regression and met at least 2 of the 4 main criteria and 5 of the 11 minor criteria, and (3) RTT-like, if they met criteria for RTT (classical or atypical) except for documented regression. The most common comorbidities in this cohort were epilepsy, affecting 74% (14 of 19), and autism spectrum disorder (ASD) in 74% (14 of 19) of the patients. All patients were sporadic cases and no other relevant findings were reported in their family history, except where specified below. The clinical features of the cohort are summarised in table 2.

Global yield of genomic analysis

Using the combined aCGH and WES analysis we were able to detect genomic imbalances in 10.5% (2 out of 19) of the patients and single nucleotide variants in 58% (11 out of 19) patients (summary of the results in online supplementary table S1.1 and interpretation workflow in online supplementary figure S2.1). We detected a de novo 18q21.1 microdeletion encompassing the TCF4 gene in patient 7, which was confirmed by qPCR, and a mosaic UPD of chromosome 3 in patient 16 (see online supplementary figures \$1.1 and \$1.2, respectively). For patients without diagnostic findings in the aCGH analysis, we performed WES analysis (see online supplementary figure S2.2-S2.4). A summary of the WES results is provided in tables 3 and 4: six variants in six genes previously described as associated with NDDs (table 3); likely pathogenic variants in five genes not described as associated with a RTT-like or ID related phenotype but which, due to their functions, may account for the disease in the patients (table 4).

Patients with CNVs causing RTT-like phenotypes

Patient 7 is a girl who had an apparently normal development up until the age of 4 months, when regression was noticed. Hand stereotypies were documented around 30 months; still, acquisition of some hand skills occurred around 6 years of age, but these were lost 3 years later. Currently the patient is an adult with moderate ID, epilepsy and autistic behaviour. Additionally, she presents with eight minor criteria for RTT diagnosis, including respiratory disturbances—more precisely hyperventilation. Overall, the patient was classified as atypical RTT. Microarrays revealed a de novo 0, 25 Mb microdeletion at chromosome 18q21.1 encompassing four exons of *TCF4* and the *MIR4529* gene (see online supplementary figure S1.1). Loss of function mutations and microdeletions affecting *TCF4* have been described in patients with PTHS.¹⁹ ²⁰ ³⁴ The natural history of RTT and PTHS overlaps significantly, the latter being usually considered in the differential diagnosis of RTT. The fact that the patient is a girl, lacks dysmorphisms, started to show stereotypies around 2.5 years old and hyperpnoea at 7 years lead to the consideration of RTT as a first possibility.

Patient 16 is a 9 year-old girl who showed developmental stagnation at around 6 months, which coincided with appearance of West syndrome and deceleration of head growth. She has slowly acquired motor skills, with some purposeful grasp and ataxic gait. Severe ID and hand wringing raised the diagnostic hypothesis of RTT. aCGH analysis revealed an entire chromosome 3 with log R ratio (LRR)=0 and B Allele Frequency (BAF) split (0.3 and 0.6), compatible with mosaic UPD of chromosome 3 (see online supplementary figure \$1.2). This abnormality occurred de novo and is predicted to be present in about 75% of the cells of the patient.³⁵ Only three of all the genes in chromosome 3 are predicted to be differently expressed according to parental lineage: ALDH1L1 and ZIC1 are paternally imprinted, and HES1 is maternally imprinted.³⁶ When considering the possibility of a variant in heterozygosity in the mother/father being present in homozygosity in the child's cells with UPD, only a maternal missense variant in SRGAP3 found in the WES analysis fits this hypothesis. Interestingly, SRGAP3 encodes a Slit-Robo Rho GTPase activating protein that has been implicated in the pathogenesis of ID.³

Patients with variants in genes previously associated with similar phenotype

Patient 5 is a 5 year-old girl who regressed at around 8 months of life. Though the child presents with severe ID and no language, some psychomotor developmental milestones were attained, with tiptoe walking around 2 years of age. Hand stereotypies, intense eye communication, breathing disturbances (apnoea followed by hyperpnoea) and screaming spells prompted the clinical diagnosis of atypical RTT. Though severely microcephalic, the patient's brain MRI did not reveal any relevant morphological changes. Also, the patient does not have short stature. In this patient, a de novo c.C556T, p.(R186X) variant was detected in the ZNF238 gene (see online supplementary figure \$1.5).

Patient 17 is a 6 year-old girl who presented with seizures at 1 month of life and whose development was significantly delayed, with first words around 3 years and walking at 4 years of age. The patient also has hand stereotypies, bruxism and crying spells when awake, sleep problems, hyperpnoeas and apnoeas, and poor eye contact. In this patient a de novo c.

Proband	Gene	Location	NM number	cDNA	Protein	Related phenotype	Reference
5	ZNF238	chr1:244217659	NM_006352	c.C556T	p.(R186X)	ID; 1q43q44 deletion syndrome	17, 18
7	TCF4	chr18:52996207-53243605	Microdeletion enco	ompassing 4	exons	Pitt-Hopkins syndrome	19, 20
8	EIF2B2	chr14:75470349	NM_014239	c.C380T	p.(A127V)	Leucoencephalopathy with vanishing white matter	21
14	STXBP1	chr9:130425592	NM_001032221	c.T538C	p.(C180R)	Early infantile epileptic encephalopathy	22, 23
15	SLC35A2	chrX:48762414	NM_001042498	c.G772A	p.(V258M)	Early onset epileptic encephalopathy; Congenital disorder of glycosylation type II	23, 24
17	EEF1A2	chr20:62127259	NM_001958	c.G274A	p.(A92T)	ID and epilepsy	6, 25
19	SHROOM4	chrX:50378637	NM_020717	c.C436T	p.(R146W)	Stocco dos Santos syndrome	26

ID, intellectual disability.

Table 4	List of pa	tients with varia	nts in candidate	disease ge	enes										
	Gene	Variant: genomic coordinates	NM number	cDNA	Protein	Funct	ional impact	prediction				Gene Function	KO/KD phenotype*	Expression in Human Neocortex†	References
Proband						SIFT	PolyPhen2	MutAsse.	Condel	Pmut	MutTast				
2	HTT	chr4:3133374 chr4:3162034	NM_002111	c.C2108T c.C3779T	p.(P703L) p.(T1260M)	NP NP	P P	P NP	P NP	NP NP	P P	Ubiquitously expressed nuclear protein that regulates transcription; involved in vesicular traffic	Conditional mutants are small with progressive neurodegeneration	Moderate	27, 28
4	SMARCA1	chrX:128599594	NM_139035	c.G2897T	p.(G966V)	Ρ	Ρ	Ρ	Ρ	Ρ	Ρ	Chromatin remodelling; Wnt signalling; Interacts with <i>FOXG1</i>	Hemizygous male/ homozygous female KO show abnormal neuron proliferation and differentiation, increased brain and heart weight	Moderate	29, 30
9	GABBR2	chr9:101133817	NM_005458	c.G1699A	p.(A567T)	Ρ	NP	Ρ	NP	NP	Ρ	γ-aminobutyric acid (GABA) type B receptor; Regulation of neurotransmitter release	Homozygous KO mice show clonic seizures, hyperactivity, anxiety.	High	31
11	RHOBTB2	chr8:22865220	NM_001160036	c.A1528G	p.(N510D)	Р	Р	NP	Р	NP	NP	Rho GTPase family; Binds to CUL3	ND	High	32
11	EIF4G1	chr3:184038482	NM_182917	c.G602A	p.(R201H)	Р	Р	NP	Ρ	NP	Р	Recruitment of mRNA to the 40S ribosomal subunit	ND	High	33

*The Jackson laboratory, 2014. †Allen Institute for Brain Science, 2004. KO, knockout; KD, knockdown; ND, not described.

G274A, p.(A92T) substitution in the *EEF1A2* gene was found (see online supplementary figure S1.15).

Patient 8 is a 13 year-old girl and the first child of a healthy and non-consanguineous couple. She seemed to have a normal development up until 24 months when regression was noticed in language and hand manipulation, followed by the first signs of autistic behaviour-like impairment in social interactions around 30 months. Concomitant hand stereotypies, ataxia, rigidity and screaming spells led to the classification of the patient as RTT-like. The patient also presented continuous tremor but no spasticity or optic atrophy. Brain MRI performed when the patient was 3 years old did not reveal significant alterations. In this patient a homozygous recessive variant c. C380T, p.(A127V) in the *EIF2B2* gene was found (see online supplementary figure S1.7).

Patient 14 is a 12 year-old boy who presented with West syndrome at 1 month of age and severe developmental delay, in addition to poor eye contact, hyperventilation episodes, bruxism when awake, decreased response to pain and midline hand stereotypies. This RTT-like patient has a de novo c. T538C, p.(C180M) variant in the STXBP1 gene (see online supplementary figure S1.13). A missense mutation in amino acid 180 was described in 2008.³⁹ Although variable,⁴⁰ the core phenotype of STXBP1 mutations seems to include epilepsy within the 1st months of life.⁴¹ Mutations in STXBP1 can also cause ID without epilepsy²² and it may actually be a relatively common cause of severe ID,42 which highlights the role of STXBP1 in cognitive function alone. Recently, patients with STXBP1 mutations were noted to have head and upper limb stereotypies (eg, hand flapping)⁴³ but midline hand stereotypies are reported for the first time in our study. While our manuscript was in preparation a de novo missense variant in STXBP1 was reported in a girl with classical Rett diagnosis.⁴⁴

Patient 15 is an 8 year-old boy whose development stagnated around 6 months; his psychomotor development was significantly delayed, language and gait never being attained. The patient also had an abnormal sleep pattern, inconsolable crying spells and hand and head stereotypies, as well as autism features; he was classified as RTT-like. WES revealed a c.G772A, p. (V258M) variant in the SLC35A2 gene (see online supplementary figure \$1.14). The patient's mother also carried the variant and presented with a random X inactivation. The variant was also present in the patient's skin biopsy. Transferrin isoelectric focusing analysis in the patient was normal. Interestingly, upon reanalysis of the clinical data, we found that the patient also had facial dysmorphisms, gastro-oesophageal reflux, epileptic encephalopathy (but not West syndrome), microcephaly and brain malformations (namely brain atrophy, thin corpus callosum and frontoparietal periventricular heterotopies), resembling other patients with SLC35A2 variants.^{23 24}

Patient 19 is 14 year-old boy with dyspraxic gait and no language, who acquired purposeful grasp only around 2 years of age. Eye pointing, kyphosis, peripheral vasomotor disturbances and small cold hand and feet lead to the classification as RTT-like. This patient carries two maternally inherited X linked variants: a c.C436T, p.(R146W) variant in *SHROOM4* and a c. G409A, p.(D137N) variant in *ZFX* (see online supplementary figures S1.17 and S1.18). Extended pedigree analysis reveals that patient 19 is an isolated case and both variants are inherited from the healthy mother, who has a random X inactivation pattern. *SHROOM4* encodes a regulator of cytoskeletal architecture and has been associated with X linked ID.²⁶

Other variants in genes previously associated with NDDs but which weren't in accordance with the inheritance patterns

described in the literature were also found in some cases (see online supplementary data1, tables \$1.2-\$1.18).

Patients with variants in genes possibly relevant for ID pathogenesis

For five of the patients enrolled in the study, likely pathogenic variants were found in functionally relevant and/or candidate ID genes.

Patient 2 is a 18 year-old girl who showed developmental regression around 6 months of life and 2 months later started to have partial complex seizures as well as lack of interest in interacting with the environment. This classical RTT patient meets all four main criteria in addition to eight supportive criteria. On neurological exam it was also observed that the patient had swallowing problems, dystonia and bradykinesia (but not rigidity) in addition to continuous manual stereotypies (but not chorea). Interestingly, brain MRI performed when the patient was approximately 5 years old showed significant striatum atrophy (especially in the caudate nuclei) as well as mild atrophy of the cortex and cerebellar vermis. WES revealed two compound heterozygous variants in the HTT gene (see online supplementary figure \$1.3): a maternal c.C2108T, p.(P703L) and a paternal c.C3779T, p.(T1260M). The latter variant is described in single nucleotide polymorphism database (dbSNP) as a polymorphism (rs34315806) with a minor allele frequency of T=0.0276/138.

Patient 4 is a girl who has been classified as classical RTT. She had moderate developmental delay, superimposed by regression at around 5 years of age. Currently, at 25 years of age, the patient is severely autistic, non-verbal, can't walk, has lost purposeful hand use and has hand sterotypies, in addition to seven minor criteria (see details in table 2). She carries a de novo variant c.G2897T, p.(G966V) in SMARCA1 (see online supplementary figure S1.4).

Patient 9 had developmental stagnation at 7 months followed by regression, currently (at age 19 years) presenting with severe ID. She was classified as an RTT variant in light of her absence of language, hand stereotypies and lack of hand use, breathing disturbances (hyperventilation), bruxism, abnormal sleep cycle, crying spells, autistic features, eye pointing and small feet. She has never had seizures. A de novo variant c.G1699A, p.(A567T) in the *GABBR2* gene was found (see online supplementary figure \$1.8).

Patient 11 is a 6 year-old girl whose development stagnated at around 6–9 months, coinciding with the beginning of generalised epilepsy. Additional findings that lead to the classification of the patient as RTT-like include: hand stereotypies, intense eye communication, sleep problems, peripheral vasomotor disturbances, bruxism when awake, growth retardation, diminished response to pain and resting tremor. Her mother has resting tremor and is suspected of having psychiatric disease, possibly early onset dementia. The maternal grandmother is bedridden and demented. The father is also suspected of having psychiatric disease. The patient carries a de novo variant c.A1528G, p.(N510D) in *RHOBTB2* (see online supplementary figure S1.10). She also has a homozygous c.G602A, p.(R201H) variant in *EIF4G1* (see online supplementary figure S1.11).

Bioinformatic analysis of the interactions between the novel candidate genes and known RTT-like NDD associated genes

Phenotypical overlap between RTT and the patients in our cohort with variants in genes previously implicated in NDDs was observed (table 2 and figure 1). Network analysis using GeneMania revealed that our candidate genes interact with each other and with the already known RTT genes by means of




Figure 1 Scheme representing phenotype overlap of the genes already identified as causing neurodevelopmental disorders and the RTT phenotype. The phenotypes clearly blend, suggesting that the RTT spectrum may still be expanding.

protein (71%), predicted (15%) and genetic (3%) interactions, as well as coexpression (7%) and participating in a common pathway (2%) (figure 2).

DISCUSSION

In this study we identified a possible genetic cause of disease in eight RTT-like patients. Recently, two publications described the application of WES to smaller series of patients with features of RTT, where, if we exclude the variants found in *MECP2* and *FOXG1* genes, an yield of 27% was found.⁴⁵ ⁴⁶ However, important questions such as (1) what other genes may lead to an RTT-like similar phenotype and (2) which pathways and genetic mechanisms can lead to such a specific phenotype still remain unanswered. To try to clarify these questions we undertook genomic analysis by aCGH and WES in a group of 19 trios whose index presented NDD with RTT-like features achieving an yield of 37% (excluding a case of uniparental dissomy and variants found in candidate genes).

Regarding the WES results, in 6 of the 19 patients we detected variants in genes previously associated with overlapping neurodevelopmental phenotypes, namely: *SLC35A2*, *STXBP1*, *ZNF238*, *EEF1A2*, *EIF2B2* and *SHROOM4* (table 3). On the other hand, five patients present novel variants in genes with known function in the central nervous system and which, to the best of our knowledge, have not been clearly associated with ID in humans (*HTT*, *SMARCA1*, *GABBR2*, *RHOBTB2* and *EIF4G1*) (table 4). All these genes, taking into account their function, are good candidates to be disease-causing. *HTT*

encodes a protein that directly interacts with MeCP2²⁷ and Mecp2-deficient mice have reduced expression of Htt in the entire brain, leading to a defect in the axonal transport of Bdnf.²⁸ RTT and Huntington disease seem to share features at a molecular level (nuclear interaction for transcriptional regulation and axonal trafficking through *BDNF*)²⁷ and neuropathological findings (striatum atrophy)⁴⁷ and clinical presentation (of compulsive movement disorder plus cognitive dysfunction).

Another of our candidates, *SMARCA1* (alias *SNF2L*), encodes a protein which was described to function antagonistically with Foxg1 in the regulation of brain size in mice.^{29 48}

GABBR2 encodes a γ -aminobutyric acid type B receptor that is involved in neuronal activity inhibition through the regulation of neurotransmitter release.³¹ Recently, de novo missense variants in *GABRR2* were identified in two different patients with infantile spasms.⁴⁹

RHOBTB2 belongs to the Rho GTPases family and was found to bind to CUL3.³² De novo nonsense variants in CUL3 were identified in two separate next-generation sequencing studies using ASD (autism spectrum disorder) probands,⁵⁰ ⁵¹ *EIF4G1* encodes a translation initiation factor involved in the recruitment of mRNA to the 40S ribosomal subunit.³³ Variants in *EIF4G1* have been associated with autosomal dominant forms of Lewy body dementia⁵² and Parkinson's disease (with and without dementia) however the real impact of some of these variants is still unclear.^{53–56} Interestingly patient 11 (homozygous) and her mother (heterozygous) present resting tremor, a typical sign of Parkinson's disease. Considering the




Figure 2 (A) Functional network showing the interaction among genes with variants in our cohort and genes previously associated with RTT. GeneMANIA retrieved interactions between the guery genes (black circles-highlighted with yellow circumference if identified in our cohort) and added extra genes (grey circles) that are strongly connected to the query genes. Analysis was based on physical interactions (red edges), predicted interactions (orange edges), coexpression (purple edges), genetic interactions (green edges), pathway (light blue edges), colocalisation (dark blue edges) and shared protein domains (brown edges). (B) Species alignment for the changed animo acid for Htt p.(P703L) (above) and Htt p.(T1260M) (bellow), (C) Smarca1 p.(G966V), (D) Gabbr2 p.(A567T), (E) Rhobtb2 p.(N510D) and (F) Eif4g1 p.(R201H).

biological processes in which RHOBTB2 and EIF4G1 are involved, it is likely that either one of the variants or the combination of both in the patient might have contributed towards the development of the disease.

When analysing the phenotypes associated with genes previously implicated in NDDs, they overlap with those observed in our sample of RTT-like patients. In fact, there seems to be a spectrum of clinical presentation that allows for the delineation of a core phenotype as well as distinctive clinical features, that could help guide/interpret genetic testing in future patients, as summarised in figure 1. Furthermore, the gene-gene interaction analysis revealed that our candidate genes interact with each other and with the already known RTT genes mainly by means of protein interactions (71%), predicted functional relationships (15%) and coexpression (7%) (figure 2).¹⁶ Functional enrichment analysis revealed that the top GO biological process terms were under the parent terms Translation (GO:0006412) and Glial cell differentiation (GO:0010001). Careful analysis of the network also allows for identification of possible additional candidates such as GABBR1, which has genetic interactions with FOXG1, physical interactions with GABBR2 and coexpression with STXBP1 and TCF4.

In this work, the identification of variants in genes that had already been associated with overlapping but still distinctive

NDDs brings new insight into the differential diagnosis of RTT and might allow for the aetiological diagnosis of RTT-like patients. We point out seven novel candidate genes, which may be implicated in RTT-like clinical presentations. It is important to highlight that replication of these results in more patients is required for a proper genotype-phenotype correlation and the establishment of differences and similarities with RTT. Functional studies would also be of great value. In conclusion, we expanded the phenotypical spectrum of previously known NDD genes to encompass RTT-like clinical features, and suggest novel genes that might be associated with those. Although this group of disorders is genetically heterogeneous, the novel and previously identified genes converge in common pathways and only a better understanding of the pathophysiology of NDDs will allow for development of efficient targeted therapies in the future.

Author affiliations

¹Life and Health Sciences Research Institute (ICVS), School of Health Sciences, University of Minho, Braga, Portugal

²ICVS/3B's—PT Government Associate Laboratory, Braga/Guimarães, Portugal ³Department of Genetics and Genomic Sciences, The Mindich Child Health & Development Institute, The Seaver Autism Center for Research and Treatment, Icahn School of Medicine at Mount Sinai, New York, USA

⁴Instituto Gulbenkian de Ciência, Oeiras, Portugal

⁵Science for Life Laboratory Uppsala, Department of Immunology, Genetics and Pathology, Uppsala University, Uppsala, Sweden

Genotype-phenotype correlations

⁶Center for Medical Genetics Dr. Jacinto Magalhães, Centro Hospitalar do Porto, Porto, Portugal

⁷Serviço de Genética Médica, Hospital Pediátrico, Centro Hospitalar e Universitário de Coimbra, Coimbra, Portugal

⁸Serviço de Neurologia Pediătrica, Hospital D. Estefânia, Centro Hospitalar Lisboa Central, Lisbon, Portugal

⁹Unidade de Neurodesenvolvimento e Autismo, Centro de Desenvolvimento da Criança e Centro de Investigação e Formação Clínica, Hospital Pediátrico, Centro Hospitalar e Universitário de Coimbra, Coimbra, Portugal

¹⁰Faculty of Medicine, University Clinic of Pediatrics and Institute for Biomedical Imaging and Life Science, University of Coimbra, Coimbra, Portugal

¹¹Department of Neurology, Egas Moniz Hospital, Lisbon, Portugal

 ¹²Department of Neuropediatrics, Centro Hospitalar do Porto, Porto, Portugal
¹³Centro de Desenvolvimento Luís Borges, Hospital Pediátrico, Centro Hospitalar Universitário de Coimbra, Coimbra, Portugal

¹⁴Otto-Warburg-Laboratory, Max-Planck-Institute for Molecular Genetics, Berlin, Germany

¹⁵CU Systems Medicine, University of Wuerzburg, Wuerzburg, Germany ¹⁶Molecular Medicine and Science for Life Laboratory, Department of Medical Sciences, Uppsala University, Uppsala, Sweden

Acknowledgements The authors thank the patients and families for participation in the genetic studies and for allowing this publication. SOLiD sequencing was performed at the Uppsala node of the National Genomics Infrastructure (NGI) Sweden and computational resources from Uppnex were used for the data analysis.

Contributors FL and MB: laboratory work, acquisition of data, analysis, interpretation of results and manuscript preparation; AA: bioinformatics and statistical analysis; GS: recruitment of patients, acquisition and analysis of clinical data and manuscript preparation; JdS, AID, GO, PC, TT, EC, IFC, JPV, RO: recruitment of patients and acquisition of clinical data; SE: in silico tools analysis; IJ, A-CS, UG: study supervision; DP: aCGH analysis; PM: study concept and design, data interpretation, critical revision of the manuscript for important intellectual content, study supervision.

Funding This work was supported by the Seventh Framework Programme (FP7/2007–2013) under grant agreement no. 262055. This work was also supported by the FEDER through the Programa Operacional Factores de Competitividade— COMPETE and by Portuguese national funds through the FCT—Fundação para a Ciência e Tecnologia, grants number PIC/IC/83026/2007 and PIC/IC/83013/2007, PhD scholarship grant to MB number SFRH/BDINT/ 51549/2011 and PhD scholarship grant to FL number SFRH/BD/84650/2010.

Competing interests None declared.

Patient consent Obtained.

Ethics approval Hospital Geral de St. António, Portugal.

Provenance and peer review Not commissioned; externally peer reviewed.

REFERENCES

- Neul JL, Kaufmann WE, Glaze DG, Christodoulou J, Clarke AJ, Bahi-Buisson N, Leonard H, Bailey MES, Schanen NC, Zappella M, Renieri A, Huppke P, Percy AK. Rett syndrome: revised diagnostic criteria and nomenclature. *Ann Neurol* 2010;68:944–50.
- 2 Hagberg B. Clinical manifestations and stages of Rett syndrome. *Ment Retard Dev Disabil Res Rev* 2002;8:61–5.
- 3 Depienne C, Gourfinkel-An I, Baulac S, LeGuern E. Genes in infantile epileptic encephalopathies. In: Noebels JL, Avoli M, Rogawski MA, Olsen RW, Delgado-Escueta AV, eds. *Jasper's Basic Mechanisms of the Epilepsies*. Bethesda, MD: National Center for Biotechnology Information (US), 2012. http://www.ncbi. nlm.nih.gov/books/NBK98182/ (accessed 3 Mar 2014).
- 4 Guerrini R, Parrini E. Epilepsy in Rett syndrome, and CDKL5- and FOXG1-gene-related encephalopathies. *Epilepsia* 2012;53:2067–78.
- 5 Marangi G, Ricciardi S, Orteschi D, Lattante S, Murdolo M, Dallapiccola B, Biscione C, Lecce R, Chiurazzi P, Romano C, Greco D, Pettinato R, Sorge G, Pantaleoni C, Alfei E, Toldo I, Magnani C, Bonanni P, Martinez F, Serra G, Battaglia D, Lettori D, Vasco G, Baroncini A, Daolio C, Zollino M. The Pitt-Hopkins syndrome: report of 16 new patients and clinical diagnostic criteria. *Am J Med Genet A* 2011;155:1536–45.
- 6 de Ligt J, Willemsen MH, van Bon BWM, Kleefstra T, Yntema HG, Kroes T, Vulto-Van Silfhout AT, Koolen DA, De Vries P, Gilissen C, Del Rosario M, Hoischen A, Scheffer H, De Vries BBA, Brunner HG, Veltman JA, Vissers LELM. Diagnostic exome sequencing in persons with severe intellectual disability. N Engl J Med 2012;367:1921–9.
- 7 Najmabadi H, Hu H, Garshasbi M, Zemojtel T, Abedini SS, Chen W, Hosseini M, Behjati F, Haas S, Jamali P, Zecha A, Mohseni M, Püttmann L, Vahid LN, Jensen C, Moheb LA, Bienek M, Larti F, Mueller I, Weissmann R, Darvish H, Wrogemann K, Hadavi V, Lipkowitz B, Esmaeeli-Nieh S, Wieczorek D, Kariminejad R, Firouzabadi SG, Cohen M, Fattahi Z, Rost I, Mojahedi F, Hertzberg C, Dehghan A, Rajab A,

Banavandi MJS, Hoffer J, Falah M, Musante L, Kalscheuer V, Ullmann R, Kuss AW, Tzschach A, Kahrizi K, Ropers HH. Deep sequencing reveals 50 novel genes for recessive cognitive disorders. *Nature* 2011;478:57–63.

- 8 Vissers LELM, de Ligt J, Gilissen C, Janssen I, Steehouwer M, De Vries P, Van Lier B, Arts P, Wieskamp N, Del Rosario M, Van Bon BWM, Hoischen A, De Vries BBA, Brunner HG, Veltman JA. A de novo paradigm for mental retardation. *Nat Genet* 2010;42:1109–12.
- 9 Ng PC, Henikoff S. Predicting deleterious amino acid substitutions. *Genome Res* 2001;11:863–74.
- 10 Adzhubei IA, Schmidt S, Peshkin L, Ramensky VE, Gerasimova A, Bork P, Kondrashov AS, Sunyaev SR. A method and server for predicting damaging missense mutations. *Nat Methods* 2010;7:248–9.
- 11 Reva B, Antipin Y, Sander C. Predicting the functional impact of protein mutations: application to cancer genomics. *Nucleic Acids Res* 2011;39:e118.
- 12 Schwarz JM, Rödelsperger C, Schuelke M, Seelow D. MutationTaster evaluates disease-causing potential of sequence alterations. *Nat Methods* 2010;7:575–6.
- 13 Ferrer-Costa C, Gelpí JL, Zamakola L, Parraga I, de la Cruz X, Orozco M. PMUT: a web-based tool for the annotation of pathological mutations on proteins. *Bioinforma Oxf Engl* 2005;21:3176–8.
- 14 González-Pérez A, López-Bigas N. Improving the assessment of the outcome of nonsynonymous SNVs with a consensus deleteriousness score, Condel. *Am J Hum Genet* 2011;88:440–9.
- 15 Mostafavi S, Ray D, Warde-Farley D, Grouios C, Morris Q. GeneMANIA: a real-time multiple association network integration algorithm for predicting gene function. *Genome Biol* 2008;9(Suppl. 1):S4.
- 16 Warde-Farley D, Donaldson SL, Comes O, Zuberi K, Badrawi R, Chao P, Franz M, Grouios C, Kazi F, Lopes CT, Maitland A, Mostafavi S, Montojo J, Shao Q, Wright G, Bader GD, Morris Q. The GeneMANIA prediction server: biological network integration for gene prioritization and predicting gene function. *Nucleic Acids Res* 2010;38:W214–220.
- 17 Ballif BC, Rosenfeld JA, Traylor R, Theisen A, Bader PI, Ladda RL, Sell SL, Steinraths M, Surti U, Mcguire M, Williams S, Farrell SA, Filiano J, Schnur RE, Coffey LB, Tervo RC, Stroud T, Marble M, Netzloff M, Hanson K, Aylsworth AS, Bamforth JS, Babu D, Niyazov DM, Ravnan JB, Schultz RA, Lamb AN, Torchia BS, Bejjani BA, Shaffer LG. High-resolution array CGH defines critical regions and candidate genes for microcephaly, abnormalities of the corpus callosum, and seizure phenotypes in patients with microdeletions of 1q43q44. *Hum Genet* 2012;131:145–56.
- 18 de Munnik SA, García-Miňaúr S, Hoischen A, Van Bon BW, Boycott KM, Schoots J, Hoefsloot LH, Knoers NVAM, Bongers EMHF, Brunner HG. A de novo non-sense mutation in ZBTB18 in a patient with features of the 1q43q44 microdeletion syndrome. *Eur J Hum Genet* 2014;22:844–6.
- 19 Forrest M, Chapman RM, Doyle AM, Tinsley CL, Waite A, Blake DJ. Functional analysis of TCF4 missense mutations that cause Pitt-Hopkins syndrome. *Hum Mutat* 2012;33:1676–86.
- 20 Kousoulidou L, Tanteles G, Moutafi M, Sismani C, Patsalis PC, Anastasiadou V. 263.4 kb deletion within the TCF4 gene consistent with Pitt-Hopkins syndrome, inherited from a mosaic parent with normal phenotype. *Eur J Med Genet* 2013;56:314–18.
- 21 Scali O, Di Perri C, Federico A. The spectrum of mutations for the diagnosis of vanishing white matter disease. *Neurol Sci* 2006;27:271–7.
- 22 Hamdan FF, Gauthier J, Dobrzeniecka S, Lortie A, Mottron L, Vanasse M, D'Anjou G, Lacaille JC, Rouleau GA, Michaud JL. Intellectual disability without epilepsy associated with STXBP1 disruption. *Eur J Hum Genet* 2011;19:607–9.
- 23 Kodera H, Nakamura K, Osaka H, Maegaki Y, Haginoya K, Mizumoto S, Kato M, Okamoto N, Iai M, Kondo Y, Nishiyama K, Tsurusaki Y, Nakashima M, Miyake N, Hayasaka K, Sugahara K, Yuasa I, Wada Y, Matsumoto N, Saitsu H. De novo mutations in SLC35A2 encoding a UDP-galactose transporter cause early-onset epileptic encephalopathy. *Hum Mutat* 2013;34:1708–14.
- 24 Ng BG, Buckingham KJ, Raymond K, Kircher M, Turner EH, He M, Smith JD, Eroshkin A, Szybowska M, Losfeld ME, Chong JX, Kozenko M, Li C, Patterson MC, Gilbert RD, Nickerson DA, Shendure J, Bamshad MJ, Freeze HH. Mosaicism of the UDP-galactose transporter SLC35A2 causes a congenital disorder of glycosylation. *Am J Hum Genet* 2013;92:632–6.
- 25 Veeramah KR, Johnstone L, Karafet TM, Wolf D, Sprissler R, Salogiannis J, Barth-Maron A, Greenberg ME, Stuhlmann T, Weinert S, Jentsch TJ, Pazzi M, Restifo LL, Talwar D, Erickson RP, Hammer MF. Exome sequencing reveals new causal mutations in children with epileptic encephalopathies. *Epilepsia* 2013;54:1270–81.
- 26 Hagens O, Dubos A, Abidi F, Barbi G, Van Zutven L, Hoeltzenbein M, Tommerup N, Moraine C, Fryns JP, Chelly J, Van Bokhoven H, Gécz J, Dollfus H, Ropers HH, Schwartz CE, de Cassia Stocco Dos Santos R, Kalscheuer V, Hanauer A. Disruptions of the novel KIAA1202 gene are associated with X-linked mental retardation. *Hum Genet* 2006;118:578–90.
- 27 McFarland KN, Huizenga MN, Darnell SB, Sangrey GR, Berezovska O, Cha J-HJ, Outeiro TF, Sadri-Vakili G. MeCP2: a novel Huntingtin interactor. *Hum Mol Genet* 2014;23:1036–44.
- 28 Roux J-C, Zala D, Panayotis N, Borges-Correia A, Saudou F, Villard L. Modification of Mecp2 dosage alters axonal transport through the Huntingtin/Hap1 pathway. *Neurobiol Dis* 2012;45:786–95.

- 29 Yip DJ, Corcoran CP, Alvarez-Saavedra M, Demaria A, Rennick S, Mears AJ, Rudnicki MA, Messier C, Picketts DJ. Snf2l regulates Foxg1-dependent progenitor cell expansion in the developing brain. *Dev Cell* 2012;22:871–8.
- 30 Eckey M, Kuphal S, Straub T, Rummele P, Kremmer E, Bosserhoff AK, Becker PB. Nucleosome remodeler SNF2L suppresses cell proliferation and migration and attenuates Wnt signaling. *Mol Cell Biol* 2012;32:2359–71.
- 31 Blein S, Hawrot E, Barlow P. The metabotropic GABA receptor: molecular insights and their functional consequences. *Cell Mol Life Sci* 2000;57:635–50.
- 32 Wilkins A, Ping Q, Carpenter CL. RhoBTB2 is a substrate of the mammalian Cul3 ubiquitin ligase complex. *Genes Dev* 2004;18:856–61.
- 33 Villa N, Do A, Hershey JWB, Fraser CS. Human eukaryotic initiation factor 4G (elF4G) protein binds to elF3c, -d, and -e to promote mRNA recruitment to the ribosome. J Biol Chem 2013;288:32932–40.
- 34 Whalen S, Héron D, Gaillon T, Moldovan O, Rossi M, Devillard F, Giuliano F, Soares G, Mathieu-Dramard M, Afenjar A, Charles P, Mignot C, Burglen L, Van Maldergem L, Piard J, Aftimos S, Mancini G, Dias P, Philip N, Goldenberg A, Le Merrer M, Rio M, Josifova D, Van Hagen JM, Lacombe D, Edery P, Dupuis-Girod S, Putoux A, Sanlaville D, Fischer R, Drévillon L, Briand-Suleau A, Metay C, Goossens M, Amiel J, Jacquette A, Giurgea I. Novel comprehensive diagnostic strategy in Pitt-Hopkins syndrome: clinical score and further delineation of the TCF4 mutational spectrum. *Hum Mutat* 2012;33:64–72.
- 35 Rodríguez-Santiago B, Malats N, Rothman N, Armengol L, Garcia-Closas M, Kogevinas M, Villa O, Hutchinson A, Earl J, Marenne G, Jacobs K, Rico D, Tardón A, Carrato A, Thomas G, Valencia A, Silverman D, Real FX, Chanock SJ, Pérez-Jurado LA. Mosaic uniparental disomies and aneuploidies as large structural variants of the human genome. *Am J Hum Genet* 2010;87:129–38.
- 36 Luedi PP, Dietrich FS, Weidman JR, Bosko JM, Jirtle RL, Hartemink AJ, Computational and experimental identification of novel human imprinted genes. *Genome Res* 2007;17:1723–30.
- 37 Ellery PM, Ellis RJ, Holder SE. Interstitial 3p25 deletion in a patient with features of 3p deletion syndrome: further evidence for the role of SRGAP3 in mental retardation. *Clin Dysmorphol* 2014;23:29–31.
- 38 Endris V, Wogatzky B, Leimer U, Bartsch D, Zatyka M, Latif F, Maher ER, Tariverdian G, Kirsch S, Karch D, Rappold GA. The novel Rho-GTPase activating gene MEGAP/ srGAP3 has a putative role in severe mental retardation. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 2002;99:11754–9.
- 39 Saitsu H, Kato M, Mizuguchi T, Hamada K, Osaka H, Tohyama J, Uruno K, Kumada S, Nishiyama K, Nishimura A, Okada I, Yoshimura Y, Hirai S, Kumada T, Hayasaka K, Fukuda A, Ogata K, Matsumoto N. De novo mutations in the gene encoding STXBP1 (MUNC18-1) cause early infantile epileptic encephalopathy. *Nat Genet* 2008;40:782–8.
- 40 Deprez L, Weckhuysen S, Holmgren P, Suls A, Van Dyck T, Goossens D, Del-Favero J, Jansen A, Verhaert K, Lagae L, Jordanova A, Van Coster R, Yendle S, Berkovic SF, Scheffer I, Ceulemans B, De Jonghe P. Clinical spectrum of early-onset epileptic encephalopathies associated with STXBP1 mutations. *Neurology* 2010;75:1159–65.
- 41 Mignot C, Moutard M-L, Trouillard O, Gourfinkel-An I, Jacquette A, Arveiler B, Morice-Picard F, Lacombe D, Chiron C, Ville D, Charles P, Leguern E, Depienne C, Héron D. STXBP1-related encephalopathy presenting as infantile spasms and generalized tremor in three patients. *Epilepsia* 2011;52:1820–7.
- 42 Rauch A, Wieczorek D, Graf E, Wieland T, Endele S, Schwarzmayr T, Albrecht B, Bartholdi D, Beygo J, Di Donato N, Dufke A, Cremer K, Hempel M, Horn D, Hoyer J, Joset P, Röpke A, Moog U, Riess A, Thiel CT, Tzschach A, Wiesener A, Wohlleber E, Zweier C, Ekici AB, Zink AM, Rump A, Meisinger C, Grallert H, Sticht H, Schenck A, Engels H, Rappold G, Schröck E, Wieacker P, Riess O, Meitinger T, Reis A, Strom

TM. Range of genetic mutations associated with severe non-syndromic sporadic intellectual disability: an exome sequencing study. *Lancet* 2012;380:1674–82.

- 43 Kim YO, Korff CM, Villaluz MMG, Suls A, Weckhuysen S, De Jonghe P, Scheffer IE, Head stereotypies in STXBP1 encephalopathy. *Dev Med Child Neurol* 2013;55:769–72.
- 44 Romaniello R, Saettini F, Panzeri E, Arrigoni F, Bassi MT, Borgatti R, A de-novo STXBP1 gene mutation in a patient showing the Rett syndrome phenotype. *Neuroreport* 2015;26:254–7.
- 45 Olson HE, Tambunan D, Lacoursiere C, Goldenberg M, Pinsky R, Martin E, Ho E, Khwaja O, Kaufmann WE, Poduri A. Mutations in epilepsy and intellectual disability genes in patients with features of Rett syndrome. *Am J Med Genet A* 2015;167:2017–25.
- 46 Hara M, Ohba C, Yamashita Y, Saitsu H, Matsumoto N, Matsuishi T. De novo SHANK3 mutation causes Rett syndrome-like phenotype in a female patient. Am J Med Genet A 2015;167:1593–6.
- 47 Reiss AL, Faruque F, Naidu S, Abrams M, Beaty T, Bryan RN, Moser H. Neuroanatomy of Rett syndrome: a volumetric imaging study. *Ann Neurol* 1993;34:227–34.
- 48 MacArthur DG, Balasubramanian Š, Frankish A, Huang N, Morris J, Walter K, Jostins L, Habegger L, Pickrell JK, Montgomery SB, Albers CA, Zhang ZD, Conrad DF, Lunter G, Zheng H, Ayub Q, Depristo MA, Banks E, Hu M, Handsaker RE, Rosenfeld JA, Fromer M, Jin M, Mu XJ, Khurana E, Ye K, Kay M, Saunders GI, Suner MM, Hunt T, Barnes IHA, Amid C, Carvalho-Silva DR, Bignell AH, Snow C, Yngvadottir B, Bumpstead S, Cooper DN, Xue Y, Romero IG, Wang J, Li Y, Gibbs RA, Mccarroll SA, Dermitzakis ET, Pritchard JK, Barrett JC, Harrow J, Hurles ME, Gerstein MB, Tyler-Smith C. A systematic survey of loss-of-function variants in human protein-coding genes. *Science* 2012;335:823–8.
- 49 EuroEPINOMICS-RES Consortium, Epilepsy Phenome/Genome Project, Epi4K Consortium. De novo mutations in synaptic transmission genes including DNM1 cause epileptic encephalopathies. *Am J Hum Genet* 2014;95:360–70.
- 50 Kong A, Frigge ML, Masson G, Besenbacher S, Sulem P, Magnusson G, Gudjonsson SA, Sigurdsson A, Jonasdottir A, Jonasdottir A, Wong WSW, Sigurdsson G, Walters GB, Steinberg S, Helgason H, Thorleifsson G, Gudbjartsson DF, Helgason A, Magnusson OT, Thorsteinsdottir U, Stefansson K. Rate of de novo mutations and the importance of father's age to disease risk. *Nature* 2012;488:471–5.
- 51 O'Roak BJ, Vives L, Girirajan S, Karakoc E, Krumm N, Coe BP, Levy R, Ko A, Lee C, Smith JD, Turner EH, Stanaway IB, Vernot B, Malig M, Baker C, Reilly B, Akey JM, Borenstein E, Rieder MJ, Nickerson DA, Bernier R, Shendure J, Eichler EE. Sporadic autism exomes reveal a highly interconnected protein network of de novo mutations. *Nature* 2012;485:246–50.
- 52 Fujioka S, Sundal C, Strongosky AJ, Castanedes MC, Rademakers R, Ross OA, Vilariño-Güell C, Farrer MJ, Wszolek ZK, Dickson DW. Sequence variants in eukaryotic translation initiation factor 4-gamma (eIF4G1) are associated with Lewy body dementia. *Acta Neuropathol (Berl)* 2013;125:425–38.
- 53 Blanckenberg J, Ntsapi C, Carr JA, Bardien S. EIF4G1 R1205H and VPS35 D620N mutations are rare in Parkinson's disease from South Africa. *Neurobiol Aging* 2014;35:445.e1–3.
- 54 Li K, Tang B, Guo J, Lou MX, Lv ZY, Liu ZH, Tian Y, Song CY, Xia K, Yan XX. Analysis of EIF4G1 in ethnic Chinese. *BMC Neurol* 2013;13:38.
- 55 Puschmann A. Monogenic Parkinson's disease and parkinsonism: clinical phenotypes and frequencies of known mutations. *Parkinsonism Relat Disord* 2013;19:407–15.
- 56 Sudhaman S, Behari M, Govindappa ST, Muthane UB, Juyal RC, Thelma BK, VPS35 and EIF4G1 mutations are rare in Parkinson's disease among Indians. *Neurobiol Aging* 2013;34:2442.e1–3.